| **ENTITY** | **COMMENTS** |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Response with Comments** | **No Comments** | **No Response** | **Staff’s Response** |
| **COMMUNITY GROUPS** |
| CenCON | 1. Summary of outreach and discussions between CenCON and the Owner-Developer over the past two years.
2. Summary of areas of compromise by the Owner-Developer.
3. Statement of support of the application.
 |  |  | Noted. CenCON will be included as a referral on any future development applications for the Subject Property. |
| Arapahoe Estates Property Owners Association |  |  | X |  |
| Arapahoe Highlands Civic Association |  |  | X |  |
| Bristol Cove I (AKA Bristol Cove TA) |  |  | X |  |
| Bristol Cove II HOA |  |  | X |  |
| Cherry Knolls Improvement Association | Statement of opposition to the application. |  |  | Noted. |
| Glenn Oaks Condominium Association |  |  | X |  |
| Glenn Oaks Townhouse Owners Association |  |  | X |  |
| Hanover Place HOA |  |  | X |  |
| Highlands 460 Civic Association |  |  | X |  |
| Highline Meadows Condominium Association |  |  | X |  |
| Knolls HOA |  |  | X |  |
| Knolls Townhome Association |  |  | X |  |
| Knolls Village Townhouse Association |  |  | X |  |
| Monterey Association Inc. |  |  | X |  |
| Southglenn Civic Association |  |  | X |  |
| Southglenn Commons Condominium Association |  |  | X |  |
| Southwind Civic Association |  |  | X |  |
| Tiffany HOA |  |  | X |  |
| **AGENCIES** |
| Arapahoe Sheriff Community Resource Unit |  | X |  |  |
| Arapahoe Sheriff Public Safety Bureau |  | X |  |  |
| Arapahoe Library District |  |  | X |  |
| Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) | 1. Reviewed the TIS and determined that the proposed mitigation measures are reasonable and acceptable.
2. No discernable impact to SH-177 (University Blvd).
3. Request for utility plans prior to any modifications within CDOT right-of-way.
4. Information regarding requirements for change of use State Highway Access permits for three (3) private roads on the Subject Property.
5. Recommendation that the City devise and implement a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategy for the Subject Property as a “Placetype” neighborhood center.
6. Request for TIS to include information regarding multi-modal bicycle and pedestrian trips and mitigation measures.
 |  |  | 1. Noted.
2. Noted.
3. Owner-Developer to obtain any required permits.
4. Owner-Developer to obtain any required permits.
5. The City supports and participates in the Denver Regional Council (DRCOG) TDM Program and identified opportunities for TDM enhancements in its 2013 Transportation Master Plan (TMP). The City regularly considers and supports multimodal connectivity both internal and external to development and redevelopment sites but does not currently require specific TDM items as part of its development process. A TDM requirement is also not currently part of the City’s TIS mandatory content items, but has been discussed by the Applicant in Section VI.C of the TIS. Any future multimodal improvements identified within the adjacent CDOT right-of-way would be pursued through CDOT coordination and permitting as required.
6. The TIS was revised to address bicycle and pedestrian connectivity, impacts, and potential mitigation measures.
 |
| Comcast |  |  | X |  |
| Denver Water | Information regarding Denver Water Engineering Standards and Plan Review Process. |  |  | Owner-Developer to provide utility plans to Denver Water and obtain any required permits prior to any future site development. |
| Littleton Public Schools |  | X |  | Littleton Public Schools responded with no comments on the Application. |
| Lumen Technologies (formerly CenturyLink Communications) |  |  | X |  |
| Regional Transportation District (RTD) |  |  | X |  |
| South Arapahoe Sanitation District | 1. A sanitary sewer study will be required for any future Site Plans on the Subject Property.
2. Sanitary sewer lines downstream of the project may need to be upsized based on the sanitary sewer study.
3. Existing sewer mains that will be impacted by any future Site Plans on the Subject Property will need to be relocated at the developer’s cost.
 |  |  | 1. Owner-Developer to provide a sanitary sewer study for any future site development.
2. Noted.
3. Noted.
 |
| South Metro Fire Rescue Authority (SMFRA) | No objection to the MDP Amendment. SMFRA review is required for any future Site Plans on the Subject Property. |  |  | Noted. SMFRA will be included as a referral on any future site development. |
| South Suburban Park and Recreation District |  |  | X |  |
| Southglenn Metropolitan District | The MDP Amendment does not present the likelihood that the Project will not meet the requirements of the MDA or any financial obligations of the District concerning the Project. |  |  | Noted. |
| Xcel Energy | 1. Request for additional dry utility easements. 2. Information for the Applicant regarding standard requirements and review process for Site Plan review. |  |  | 1. Owner-developer to provide additional required dry utility easements prior to any future site development.
2. Applicant to provide relevant information and documentation to Xcel to complete the Xcel review process.
 |